Public consultation on the review of the Mortgage Credit Directive

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

This consultation is now available in 23 European Union official languages.

Please use the language selector at the top of this page to choose your language for this consultation.

Background for this consultation

The Mortgage Credit Directive (Directive 2014/17/EU, hereinafter “MCD”) applies to loans to consumers for the purpose of buying residential property (hereinafter, “mortgage loans” or “mortgages”).

Article 44 of the MCD requires the Commission to undertake a review of the MCD considering the effectiveness and appropriateness of the provisions on consumers and the internal market. The Commission started the work on the MCD review with the publication of a report on the review of the MCD (hereinafter, “MCD report on the review”) assessing its implementation and functioning for 4 years after its transposition deadline. The report was based on a dedicated study on the evaluation of the MCD (hereinafter, “MCD evaluation study”). It highlighted that the MCD has been effective in raising the standard of consumer protection and has helped harmonise mortgage-lending practices across the Member States. Nevertheless, the level of protection still differs across Member States, and some limitations, in particular in terms of scope and information disclosure requirements for digital delivery, seem to hinder the full effectiveness of the rules. The report also stressed that the MCD had a limited impact on the creation of a single market for mortgages and pointed to the need to ensure that the MCD remains fit for purpose as the market develops and new challenges arise notably from digitalisation and the sustainable finance agenda.

For instance, digitalisation enables new market players to offer new forms of financial intermediation such as peer-to-peer mortgage lending. The industry is progressively getting digitalised, using automated decision-making systems, non-traditional data to assess the creditworthiness, robotic advisors, etc. Consumer habits may also be changing with increasing use of comparison websites to compare mortgage offers and non-traditional means to apply for mortgages. Digitalisation may bring many benefits to the consumers, in particular in terms of easier access to products and lower costs. It may also play an important role for the development of the Single Market. But, digitalisation may also entail new challenges for consumer protection. For instance, digitalisation may facilitate new ways of providing mortgage credit (e.g. through crowdfunding, peer-to-peer lending) without necessarily in all cases being subjected to the safeguards of the MCD. Information disclosures which are not adapted to a digital environment, may make it more
difficult for consumers to fully understand the offer. There may be also a risk of discrimination linked to credit decisions based on algorithms (use of Artificial Intelligence). The recently made [artificial intelligence (AI) proposal](#) suggests that AI systems used to evaluate the credit score or creditworthiness of natural persons should be classified as high-risk as they may pose significant risks to the fundamental rights of persons.

Furthermore, buildings in the EU are collectively responsible for 40% of our energy consumption and 36% of greenhouse gas emissions. Improving energy efficiency and ensuring the use of sustainable materials in buildings therefore has a key role to play in achieving the ambitious goal of carbon-neutrality by 2050, as set out in the [European green deal](#).

Also, the COVID-19 crisis has disrupted the EU economy and had a major impact on the credit market and consumers, making many consumers more financially vulnerable. Member States adopted a series of relief measures, such as loan repayment moratoria, to alleviate the financial burden on consumers. It will be necessary to assess whether lessons need to be drawn from the COVID experience.

Finally, the Commission adopted a [proposal revising the Consumer Credit Directive (CCD)](#) in June 2021. Given the important similarities between the two Directives, and the need to ensure overall consistency in credit markets, the Commission will need to take the amendments suggested in the CCD proposal and the on-going negotiation of them EU legislator into account.

### Responding to this consultation and follow up

In this context, the Commission is launching the present public consultation to complement the information gathered in the MCD evaluation study and to collect further evidence to assess, in line with Better Regulation principles, the effectiveness, efficiency, coherence, relevance and EU value-added of the MCD. The stakeholders are also consulted on the possible problems and measures to improve the MCD.

The results of the consultation will inform a formal MCD evaluation and impact assessment accompanying a possible proposal for the revision of the MCD. The aim is to make sure that the MCD continues to meet its objectives in terms of consumer protection, competitive internal market and financial stability and that it is adapted to new challenges.

The respondents will be invited at the end of the questionnaire to include studies or other analytical material on mortgage credit, which may concern any issues discussed in this consultation paper and might help the Commission services in shaping future EU policies on mortgage credit.

The questionnaire targets all stakeholder groups, but not all questions are relevant for all stakeholders and respondents do not need to reply to every question. It is thus possible for respondents to leave some questions unanswered.

---

**Please note:** In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact [fisma-mortgage-credit-review-2021@ec.europa.eu](mailto:fisma-mortgage-credit-review-2021@ec.europa.eu).

More information on

- the [consultation](#)
- the [consultation document](#)
- [mortgage credit](#)
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation
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1. General questions

Question 1. To which extent do you agree that the MCD has been effective in achieving its 3 objectives i.e.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 (fully disagree)</th>
<th>2 (rather disagree)</th>
<th>3 (neutral)</th>
<th>4 (rather agree)</th>
<th>5 (fully agree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase consumer protection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribute to an efficient and competitive single market for mortgages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please explain your answer to question 1 and provide suggestions on what can be improved to increase its effectiveness:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 2. To which extent do you agree that:

a) The **EU-intervention (MCD)** was more effective in achieving those objectives than leaving it to Member States acting at national or regional level

1 - Fully disagree
2 - Rather disagree
3 - Neutral
4 - Rather agree
5 - Fully agree
Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 2 a):

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Credit unions in the EU are mostly exempt from CRD IV requirements meaning prudential and other regulatory requirements are left to national-level supervisors. In many instances those regulations are more stringent than EU level. This approach, however, is preferred for credit unions as it allows rules and regulations to be tailored for their particular model and operating structure and adequately provides for their consumer friendly, member-owned cooperative not-for-profit model. To this extent consumer protection is often enhanced. Harmonization across many jurisdictions, without the ability to proportionally tailor regulations, could in some instances weaken consumer protections.

b) The overall **benefits** (such as increased consumer protection, level playing field) of introducing the EU MCD have outweighed the overall costs linked to its implementation

1 - Fully disagree
Please explain your answer to question 2 b):

*5000 character(s) maximum*

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Please explain your answer to question 2 c):

*5000 character(s) maximum*

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

c) The MCD continues to be **relevant**, i.e. addresses current needs and problems in society and in the mortgage credit market

Please explain your answer to question 2 d):

*5000 character(s) maximum*

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

d) The MCD is **coherent** with other EU policies and interventions
Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 2 d):

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 3. Do you consider that the MCD could be simplified to reduce compliance costs without undermining its effectiveness?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 3.1 If you do consider that the MCD could be simplified, please specify in which areas and explain your answer:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Disclosures can often be cumbersome and complicated. Borrowers tend to not read them. Disclosures that are simple and easily understandable are more likely to be effective. Experience from the United States during the implementation of Dodd-Frank with TILA-RESPA mortgage disclosures demonstrates this. There were two forms combined into one disclosure and were intended to be simplified. However, they remained complex and the changes did not really result in improved consumer disclosures. The effort ended up costing more to consumers because of the costs of overhauling the disclosures and concurrent procedural requirements made the process longer to obtain a mortgage loan. Neither really benefited the consumer.

Simplified disclosures that are easily understandable should be the goal, but also the processes that accompany the disclosures should accompany these changes.

Question 4. Are you aware of possible discrimination (e.g. on gender, nationality, medical history) for consumers taking mortgage loan?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 4:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
We are aware the discrimination takes place in the market place. Credit unions are member owned and served defined fields of membership. This inherent consumer friendly structure greatly reduces the opportunity for discrimination.

**Question 5.** Are you aware of practices by credit providers exploiting consumer’s situation and patterns of behaviour (e.g. pre-ticket boxes, cross-selling of an additional product, sale of tied insurance policies)?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

**Please explain your answer to question 5:**

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We are aware of these practices in the market place, however, these practices are not generally present for credit unions that are designed to serve the needs of their members. Cross-selling, however, is not inherently bad and can sometimes benefit the consumer so long as it is done in a responsible manner. With any product, abuses can occur.

**Question 6.** To what extent do you agree that enforcement of the MCD provisions by national competent authorities (NCAs) is satisfactory?

- 1 - Fully disagree
- 2 - Rather disagree
- 3 - Neutral
- 4 - Rather agree
- 5 - Fully agree
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

**Please explain your answer to question 6:**

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

For credit unions that are exempt under CRD IV, their national level regulators are quite competent and often times more stringent than those regulated at the EU level.
Question 7. Are you aware of shortcomings in the enforcement action of MCD provisions by NCAs?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 7:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 8. Do you consider that Article 38 of the MCD regarding sanctions and the empowerment of NCAs to apply them is satisfactory?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 8 (including whether MCD provisions should be improved):

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 9. To what extent do you agree that the out-of-court complaint and redress procedures set up on the basis of Article 39 MCD are effective?

- 1 - Fully disagree
- 2 - Rather disagree
- 3 - Neutral
- 4 - Rather agree
- 5 - Fully agree
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 9 (including whether participation for creditors/intermediaries in such procedures is mandatory and the decisions of the relevant bodies are binding):

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 10. Do you consider that Article 6 of the MCD on financial education has contributed to increasing the financial education of consumers?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 10:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Financial education for consumers is critically important. Credit unions as member owned institutions engage in financial literacy in many ways for their members. This is an emphasis for credit unions.

2. Specific questions

Please click on the “Next” button to answer the specific questions.

2.1 Market structure / scope

Question 11. To which extent do you agree with the following statements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(fully disagree)</td>
<td>(rather disagree)</td>
<td>(neutral)</td>
<td>(rather agree)</td>
<td>(fully agree)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consumers have enough mortgage credit providers to choose from in all Member States.

There is sufficient competition among mortgage credit providers so that consumers are able to get competitive offers.

Please justify your answers to question 11:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We would urge non-depository institutions that are providing mortgage services to have some of the same regulatory requirements as depository taking institutions. Fin-tech type providers should not be allowed a "regulatory sandbox" type of status that relieves them of various consumer protection requirements.

Please attach below any relevant study(ies)/evidence supporting your answers to question 11. **Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.**

The maximum file size is 1 MB.
You can upload several files.
Only files of the type pdf, txt, doc, docx, odt, rtf are allowed

**Question 12. Are you aware of barriers to the offer of and/or demand for cross-border mortgage loans that could be addressed in the MCD review?**

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes
Credit unions do not operate on a cross-border basis in the EU.

Question 13. Depending on their business models, crowdfunding and peer-to-peer lending platforms may only be partly covered by the MCD rules. Are you aware of any existing or likely challenges for consumer protection or financial stability arising from mortgage loans granted through crowdfunding and peer-to-peer lending platforms (including mortgages obtained by individuals from other individuals)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For consumer protection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For financial stability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain your answers to question 13:  
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 14. Peer-to-peer and crowdfunding platforms are already active in EU markets to provide consumer credit to natural persons, and business loans. The Regulation for European crowdfunding service providers for business (ECSPR) allows platforms to apply for an EU passport based on a single set of rules. However, the Regulation does not apply if the project owner is a consumer.

To which extent do you agree that encouraging peer-to-peer service providers (e.g. clearer rules and applicability of the MCD to providers / aligned rules across the EU on mortgage issuance / cross-border provision of services) to intermediate between consumers in their capacity as borrowers and non-professional investors/consumers/businesses for issuing mortgage loans has a potential to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 (fully disagree)</th>
<th>2 (rather disagree)</th>
<th>3 (neutral)</th>
<th>4 (rather agree)</th>
<th>5 (fully agree)</th>
<th>Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the choice of consumers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase competition between mortgage credit providers</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribute to the integration of mortgage markets in the EU</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please justify your answers to question 14:

5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The introduction of non-traditional lenders may be of benefit, however, these lenders should be required to lend under similar rules required for other institutions types.
Question 15. Some credit agreements are specifically excluded from the scope of the MCD (e.g. equity release credit agreements). The **MCD report on the review** highlighted that the current level of regulation of equity release schemes may be insufficient and may pose a risk in terms of consumer protection.

Are you aware of problems for consumer protection stemming from equity release schemes or other types of credit agreement that are specifically excluded from the scope of the MCD?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

**Please explain your answer to question 15:**

*5000 character(s) maximum*

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

---

Question 16. In other cases, Member States have an option not to apply the MCD or certain of its provisions (e.g. to certain secured credit agreements; to “buy-to-let” credit agreements for immovable properties bought as an investment and not as a place to live).

Are you aware of specific problems stemming from areas where the MCD (or certain of its provisions) may not apply?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

**Please explain your answer to question 16:**

*5000 character(s) maximum*

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
2.2 Information to consumers / digitalisation

The MCD requires creditors to provide to consumers standard pre-contractual information through an European Standardised Information Sheet (ESIS) on paper or on durable medium. The MCD evaluation report concluded that consumers are sometimes overloaded with pre-contractual information contained in the ESIS that they may not read or understand.

Question 17. Do you consider that MCD rules on pre-contractual information ensure that the consumer receives appropriate and timely information to compare the credits available on the market, assess their implications and make an informed decision?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 17:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We do believe that they are appropriate, however, they could likely be simplified and clearer.

Question 18. In your view, what would facilitate consumers’ understanding and comparison of the pre-contractual information, including the information received through digital means?

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Simplification and clearer forms would assist. They should also be able to be adjusted for their respective digital channel.
Question 19. To which extent do you agree that, in addition to ESIS, the provision of a summary of simplified information on the key features of the mortgage credit offer could address information overload and help understanding and comparing offers (even on digital devices with small screens)?

- 1 - Fully disagree
- 2 - Rather disagree
- 3 - Neutral
- 4 - Rather agree
- 5 - Fully agree
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 19:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 20. If credit providers were required to provide a consumer with a summary of simplified information on the key features of the mortgage credit (in addition to the ESIS):

a) How would you rate the expected benefits to consumers?

- 1 - Negligible
- 2 - Low
- 3 - Medium
- 4 - Large
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answers to question 20 a):

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Simplification of the disclosure is welcome, however, if it is included with a volume of complicated disclosures, it may lose its effectiveness.
b) What would be the total estimated one-off and recurring costs for credit providers (in monetary terms)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-off costs</td>
<td>A lot relative to the company’s profits for smaller financial institutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring costs</td>
<td>A lot relative to the company’s profits for smaller financial institutions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please explain your answers to question 20 b):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

As an example, in N. Macedonia, our association reports that they estimate that the costs of implementing a new disclosure will cost them approximately two years of profit. This is because of their small size, low margins, and cooperative structure that returns earnings to their members. That dilution of profits does not come out of the pockets of investors or shareholders, but instead, it comes directly out of the communities and the members that they serve. Increasing regulatory compliance burdens for credit unions represents the greatest challenge to cooperatives’ efforts to increase financial inclusion of the poor and proved their members with much need affordable and responsible loans, savings, and other financial services at fair rates.

Question 21. The **MCD evaluation study** has shown that consumers often do not have sufficient time to select the best offer of mortgage credit available in the market (e.g. because the consumer may only have a period of 7 days for reflection/withdrawal).

In your view, which of the following measures would be adequate to help improve the situation?

Please select as many answers as you like

- [ ] to increase the minimum reflection/withdrawal period from 7 days to 14 days
- [ ] to make a reflection period mandatory (thus excluding the possibility of a withdrawal period)
- [ ] to require that a certain minimum amount of time elapses between the provision of the ESIS/binding offer and the conclusion of the contract
- [ ] other

Please specify to what other measure(s) you refer in your answer to question 21:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

It is unclear that more time will actually benefit a consumer and will encourage them to "shop around" for a better deal. 7 days should be sufficient time. A longer period may actually decrease mortgage lending.

Please explain your answer to question 21:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 22. Are you aware of problems for consumers or creditors linked to mortgage advertising via specific channels (radio, TV, printed media, social media etc.)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printed media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain your answer(s) to question 22:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Disclosures should be tailored to the specific channel that is being used.

Question 23. Do you consider that the MCD advertising requirements should be adapted to the specific medium on which the advertising is displayed (e.g. radio, TV, social media etc.)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please explain your answer(s) to question 23:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Tailoring to the particular medium allows for relevant information to be provided on that particular platform.

Question 24. The **MCD evaluation study** indicates that creditors are increasingly relying on robo-advisors (e.g. automated chats) to provide for instance some basic information to consumers.

Do you consider that the use of robo-advisors poses problems in terms of consumer protection?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 24, indicating possible solutions:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

These channels should be allowed, but as with any new technology, there will be problems that need to be addressed.

Question 25. To date, very few mortgage credit agreements are concluded fully digitally.

Can you describe the main difficulties/problems you experience in this area?

5000 character(s) maximum
Typical problems include digital identity, signature of documents, obtaining records needed for underwriting, etc...

**Question 25.1** If available, please also provide practical examples/solutions to such problems that enable the digital conclusion of mortgage credit agreements:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

---

**2.3 Tying and bundling**

Under the MCD, the bundling practices are allowed but tying practices are prohibited (with few exceptions under Article 12(2)). Also, tying practices may be allowed when the creditor can demonstrate to its competent authority that the tied products or categories of product offered, on terms and conditions similar to each other, which are not made available separately, result in a clear benefit to the consumers taking due account of the availability and the prices of the relevant products offered on the market (Article 12(3)).

**Question 26. Are you aware of existing problems related to tying or bundling practices?**

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 26:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
**Question 27. To what extent do you agree that the exceptions to the prohibition of tying practices are still relevant?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Don’t know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>open or maintain a payment or a savings account, where the only purpose of such an account is to accumulate capital to repay the credit, to service the credit, to pool resources to obtain the credit, or to provide additional security for the creditor in the event of default;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purchase or keep an investment product or a private pension product, where such product which primarily offers the investor an income in retirement serves also to provide additional security for the creditor in the event of default or to accumulate capital to repay the credit, to service the credit or to pool resources to obtain the credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conclude a separate credit agreement in conjunction with a shared-equity credit agreement to obtain the credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Credit unions are member owned cooperatives that mobilize deposits. To become a member one typically purchases a share for a nominal amount. This represents their ownership interest. This model should be contemplated and should not be considered tying.

2.4 Creditworthiness assessment

Credit providers are increasingly relying on automated decision-making systems where the consumer is subject to a credit decision based solely or partially on automated processing of his/her data. The recently made artificial intelligence (AI) proposal suggests that AI systems used to evaluate the credit score or creditworthiness of natural persons should be classified as high-risk as they may pose significant risks to the fundamental rights of persons. The credit institutions would be subject to requirements inter alia concerning data and data governance, documentation and record keeping, transparency, human oversight, robustness, accuracy and security.

However, the AI proposal does not propose specific rights for consumers. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) provides the right for consumers to obtain human intervention to express his or her point of view and to contest the decision. Yet this only applies in case the decision is based solely on automated decision making, not if the decision, involving automated processing, is taken by a human, as is often the case in mortgage credit processes.

Question 28. Do you consider that the consumer should have specific targeted complementary rights and information in the creditworthiness assessment process where it involves the use of automated processing of personal data?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 28:

There should always be the ability of a consumer to discuss the decision when they have been denied credit. Errors can occur or a particular situation may warrant review of a financial situation that does not fit neatly into an algorithm.
Question 29. Do you consider that the consumer ought to have the following specific rights in the case where the creditworthiness assessment involves the use of automated processing of personal data?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don’t know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To obtain from the creditor clear explanation of the assessment of the creditworthiness (e.g. logic and risks involved in the automated processing of personal data, as well as its significance and effects on the decision)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To obtain human intervention on the part of creditor to review the credit decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To contest the assessment of the creditworthiness and the decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No specific protection is needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain your answer(s) to question 29:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

An explanation and ability to appeal should be part of the process. It does not necessarily need to be a human, but one that is reasonably calculated to allow the consumer to express and consider their particular situations. Human intervention should be favored.

Question 30. The MCD requires a creditworthiness assessment to be based only on information on the consumer’s income and expenses and other financial and economic circumstances which is necessary, sufficient and proportionate.

Do you consider that this requirement may not be sufficiently granular to assess the creditworthiness of consumers in all cases, in particular of consumers with “thin credit files” (i.e. consumers for whom not a lot of economic and financial data is available)?
Question 31. Do you consider that, in clearly defined cases (e.g. thin credit files), it should be possible to take other specific information/factors into account for the creditworthiness assessment?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 31, including the possible cases and possible other specific information/factors that should be allowed to be taken into account for the creditworthiness assessment:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 32. Do you consider it appropriate to set out some key indicators to be used for creditworthiness assessments (e.g. loan-to-value, debt-to-income ratios, loan maturity, length of time during which the interest is fixed)?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 32:

5000 character(s) maximum
Question 33. The MCD requires Member States to provide non-discriminatory access for all creditors from all Member States to credit databases for assessing the creditworthiness of consumers.

Are you aware of any discrimination in accessing public and private databases/registers to assess the creditworthiness including for the cross-border provision of mortgages?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 33:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 34. The MCD evaluation study showed that creditors could access databases in other countries as long as they respect the principle of reciprocity.

In your view, does this affect the provision of cross border services?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 34:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Credit unions do not operate on a cross-border basis in the EU.
Question 35. Is there scope for improving public and private credit registers /databases, in terms of their capacity to provide relevant information for creditworthiness assessments while protecting personal data?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 35:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

2.5 Early repayment

The MCD has granted consumers the right to early repayment. This right makes it easier for consumers to switch to another provider, which is important to foster competition. The MCD evaluation report has, however, indicated that only a minority of consumers has exercised the right of early repayment since the MCD entered into force. This seems to be in particular due to a lack of consumer awareness, their inability to assess how much they could save, the possible conditions attached to early repayment and the possible amount of compensation to be paid.
Question 36. Which are in your view the main obstacles for the consumers to exercise the right of early repayment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstacle</th>
<th>1 (not important)</th>
<th>2 (slightly important)</th>
<th>3 (neutral)</th>
<th>4 (rather important)</th>
<th>5 (very important)</th>
<th>Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lack of consumer awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inability to assess how much they could save</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unclear conditions attached to early repayment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too high amount of compensation to be paid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please explain your answers to question 36:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Many consumers struggle to make the minimum required payment. Prepaying is usually only useful for those with the means to do it.

Question 37. Do you consider that further measures should be taken to further facilitate the early repayment of mortgage credit?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 37:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The right should be there. This is best addressed through financial literacy.

Question 38. The credit providers may be entitled to fair and objective compensation, where justified, for possible costs directly linked to the early repayment but shall not impose a sanction on the consumer. The compensation shall not exceed the financial loss of the creditor.

Do you consider that the MCD leaves too much discretion for the calculation of compensation to the possible detriment of consumers?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 38:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 39. The MCD report on the review suggested that there is scope to increase the level of mortgage switching by consumers, which could potentially unlock substantial benefits for consumers while increasing competition and innovation in the market.

Do you have any further suggestions to foster competition in the market and further facilitate the switching of providers?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 39:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

2.6 Foreign currency loans

Question 40. Do you agree that the MCD has been effective in protecting consumers from exchange rate risks posed by foreign currency loans?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 40:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 41. As a result of the MCD rules foreign currency loans, lenders may have significantly reduced the offer of such loans or stopped offering foreign currency loans. This situation could lead to problems in specific cases where the risks of foreign currency loans are limited e.g. for some cross-border workers.

Are you aware of specific cases where the MCD provisions on foreign currency loans may have had unintended or undesirable consequences?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 41:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

2.7 Mortgage lending by non-credit institutions

The MCD also applies to credit granted by non-credit institutions (which means creditors that are not a credit institution in the sense the Capital Requirements Regulation 575/2013). The Commission MCD report on the review highlights that the share of mortgages granted by non-credit institutions generally remains limited in the EU. However, in a few Member States, their market share seems non-negligible.

On the basis of Article 35 of the MCD, non-credit institutions need to be subject to an adequate admission process, including entering the non-credit institution in a register and arrangements for supervision by a competent authority. In its 2017 report, the ECB suggested that the growing role of non-credit institutions in the mortgage market poses some challenges in terms of financial stability. The ECB report explained that the growing market share of non-bank providers may limit the effectiveness of some macro-prudential measures that apply only to banks.

Question 42. Do you consider that further regulation of non-credit institutions providing mortgage loans would be necessary?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 42:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

non-credit institutions should be regulated in the same manner as deposit-taking institutions.

Question 43. The MCD does not provide a passport for non-credit institutions. Do you believe that a passport for non-credit institutions providing mortgage loans should be introduced in order to further the single market for mortgages?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 43:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 44. Do you see any potential risks stemming from the introduction of a passport for the non-credit institutions?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 44:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 45. One of the main changes brought about by the MCD was to create an EU passport for credit intermediaries. This enables credit intermediaries to offer their services in other Member States, while consumers benefit from easier access to mortgages from other Member States. However, the MCD report on the review indicated that only few credit intermediaries offer their services cross-border.

Are you aware of problems for credit intermediaries to exercise their activity in another Member State?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 45, specifying what the issues are related to (e.g. to the application of the MCD provisions) and how those issues could be overcome to foster cross-border provision of intermediation services:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

2.9 Arrears and foreclosure
Question 46. Article 28 of the MCD (arrears and foreclosure) requires Member States to adopt measures to encourage creditors to exercise reasonable forbearance before foreclosure proceedings are initiated but leaves flexibility for Member States as to the measures to protect consumers experiencing financial difficulties.

Do you believe that the MCD’s provisions on arrears and foreclosure have been effective in terms of reducing the risk of foreclosure?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 46:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 47. The Directive on credit servicers, credit purchasers and the recovery of collateral will strengthen Article 28 of the MCD clarifying the forbearance obligations and introducing reinforced information duties on credit purchasers and servicers. Do you consider that further measures would be required to protect consumers falling in arrears?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 47:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 48. The MCD does not include specific additional rules to protect consumers who backed their mortgage loans by their first residency.

Do you consider that a specific protection for such cases would be warranted?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 48:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 49. During the COVID-19 pandemic, Member States and industry put in place a broad range of differing relief measures in particular payment moratoria. The MCD does not provide specific rules for such exceptional situations.

Do you consider that any lessons need to be drawn from the COVID experience and specific measures should be provided for in the MCD?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 49:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- It will take time to learn the full effects on loan moratoriums on financial institutions, but these effects should be studied. In our experience the consequences of delinquencies arising from a disaster or other shock often takes time to manifest itself in the industry. It is likely you will see insolvencies, mergers, and other supervisory actions that result over time where the origins of the problems were the result of delinquencies. This area is complex and should be studied.
Some mortgage providers already offer “green mortgages” (under possible preferential terms and conditions) for instance to improve the energy efficiency of a building or to acquire highly energy efficient property. Green mortgages are an important possible avenue of development for an inclusive sustainable finance framework, as acknowledged in the strategy for financing the transition to a sustainable economy.

Question 50. Is there a need to create an EU-wide definition of green mortgages?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 50:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Some standardization in this area is warranted, but national level discretion should also be allowed to allow for local level tailoring.

Question 51. What would be the benefits/advantages for consumers and/or lenders of an EU-wide definition of green mortgages?

Please select as many answers as you like

- [ ] to ensure common requirements and possible incentives
- [ ] to ensure high level of confidence into the greenness of the mortgages
- [x] to facilitate securitisation and refinancing of mortgages through green bond issuances
- [x] to facilitate disclosure obligations under Taxonomy Regulation
- [ ] other

Please explain your answer to question 51:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The requirements of what constitute a green mortgage would be helpful, but should also be carefully crafted so as to not to unduly inhibit other responsible mortgage lending that may not be considered “green”.
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Question 52. Do you consider that a possible common definition of green mortgage should be based on the EU taxonomy criteria (construction of a new building or acquisition or renovation of an existing one)?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 52:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

---

Question 53. In your view, which measures could be considered to encourage the uptake of green mortgages?

Please select as many answers as you like

- ☐ obligation for credit providers to inform the consumer if such product can be provided
- ☐ ensure that mortgage credit providers and/or consumers taking a mortgage obtain an Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) for the residential property that the consumer will acquire using the mortgage loan
- ☐ create a label for green mortgages offered at preferential terms and conditions
- ☐ other

Please explain your answer to question 53:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

All of these suggestions have pros and cons and should be carefully considered.
Question 54. Do you consider that the knowledge and competence requirements for the staff of creditors and credit intermediaries should specifically cover knowledge on green mortgages?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 54:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

2.11 Other

Question 55. Are there any other issues that have not been raised in this questionnaire that you think would be relevant for the MCD revision?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 55:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Additional information
Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

The maximum file size is 1 MB.
You can upload several files.
Only files of the type pdf, txt, doc, docx, odt, rtf are allowed

Useful links
More on this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2021-mortgage-credit-review_en)

Contact
fisma-mortgage-credit-review@ec.europa.eu